

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trade Wark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

٥.	COMMISSION INTERNITY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
	Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/285,773	04/05/99	MERCALDI		G	M4065.165/P1
-					EXAMINER
mentantia (Nome of the Control	IM62/05			1.1545	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	HOMAS J D'AMICO				<u>RONINI.L                                  </u>
DICKSTEIN S	HAPIRO MORI	√ & OSHINSKY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2101 L STRE	ET NW		_		
WASHINGTON	DC 20037-15	26		1765	
				DATE MAILED:	
					05/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

١.,

Application No. 09/285,773

Applicant

Mercaldi et al.

Examiner

Lynette T. Umez-Eronini

Group Art Unit



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expir is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	oond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 isapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p	riority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	25 H C C \$ 110/c)
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
<ul><li>Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li></ul>	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Notice of informati atom reprioriting 100 100	
	· ,
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	LLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/285773

Art Unit: 1765

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-41, drawn to a product, classified in class 252, subclass 79.1.

II. Claims 42-81, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 745.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can

be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or

(2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in

a materially different process of using that product, such as etching an undoped

substance.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search

required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/285773

Art Unit: 1765

A telephone call was made to Thomas J. D'Amico on April 27, 2000 to request an 5.

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 6.

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or

more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a

petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is (703)

306-9074.

Itue

April 27, 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 3